

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,249	04/25/2001	Kazuo Kuroda	Q64260	5288
. 75	90 06/09/2005	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			DINH, MINH	
2100 Pennsylva Washington, Do	nnia Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2132	
	DATE MAILED: 06/0			5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/841,249	KURODA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh Dinh	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ireply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h	<u> March 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,9,13 and 21-24</u> is/are pending i	in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,5,6,9,13 and 21-24 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in a	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	, ,,,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/20/2005</u>. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050603	6

Application/Control Number: 09/841,249

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 03/29/2005. Claims 1, 5-6, 9 and 13 have been amended; claims 2-4, 7-8, 10-12 and 14-20 have been cancelled; claims 21-24 have been added.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's amendments have necessitated a new search and new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 5-6, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshima et al. (EP 0 802 527 A1) in view of Bell ("The Dynamic Digital Disk). Regarding claim 1, which is representative of claims 5-6, 9 and 13, Oshima discloses an apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2132

a generating device for generating encryption information for use in encrypting record information to be recorded in a recordable information recording medium and in decrypting the encrypted record information to be recorded in the recordable information recording medium, the encryption information being recorded in the recordable information recording medium (figures 1 and 10);

an encrypting device for encrypting the record information by employing the encryption information (fig. 10); and

a distributing device for distributing the encrypted record information to an information recording/reproducing apparatus through a telecommunications line, wherein the information recording/reproducing apparatus records the encrypted record information in the recordable information recording medium in which the encryption information is recorded in advance (fig. 10).

Oshima does not disclose that the encryption information is encrypted by CSS (Content Scramble System) before it is recorded in the recording medium. Bell discloses that encryption information is encrypted by CSS before it is recorded in a recording medium (p. 32, middle column, 2nd and 3rd paragraphs). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Oshima apparatus such that the encryption information is encrypted by CSS before it is recorded in the recording medium, as taught by Bell, so that playback of the record information could only be done on compliant players.

Application/Control Number: 09/841,249 Page 4

Art Unit: 2132

5. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshima in view of Bell as applied to claims 1, 5, 9 and 13 above, and further in view of Parker ("Compatibility Counts"). Oshima discloses that the recording medium is a DVD-RAM disk (fig. 10). Oshima does not disclose using a DVD-RW disk. Parker discloses DVD-RW disks that can be reproduced by DVD-ROM drives, which meets the limitation of general DVD players (next to last paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined apparatus of Ohima and Bell such that the recording medium is a DVD-RW disk, as

Conclusion

taught by Parker. DVD-RW disks can be read by regular DVD-ROM drives and no

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent No. 6,532,201 to Hogan

retrofitting will be necessary.

- U.S. Patent No. 6,556,679 to Kato et al.
- Bloom et al, "Copy Protection for DVD Video"
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/841,249

Art Unit: 2132

.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 10:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/841,249

Art Unit: 2132

MD

Minh Dinh Examiner Art Unit 2132

MD 6/3/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100